



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/936,953	09/17/2001	Junzo Sunamoto	Yanagihara Case 62 4435		
7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER			
	outell & Tanis	CHONG, YONG SOO			
2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Turumazoo, m	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1617		
			DATE MAILED, 00/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/936,953	SUNAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yong S. Chong	1617	

3	LAGIIIIICI	Ait oilit	i				
	Yong S. Chong	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complicing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	The same and period out to an in-						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ecause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ————						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER	Yong S. Chong					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments have been fully considered but found not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is no suggestion contained in the reference which would motivate one to incorporate the silicone oil disclosed in Ishiwatari et al. into the composition of Sunamoto et al. Examiner respectfully points out that Ishiwatari et al. discloses that oil-in-water emulsified compositions can be stabilized by addition of water-soluble high polymers such as polysaccharides, such as pullulan-cholesterol derivatives (col. 11, lines 15-35).